



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/886,373		06/22/2001	Kathy T. Stark	80168-0123	5675	
32658	7590	06/20/2006		EXAMINER		
HOGAN &	_	SON LLP ER, SUITE 1500		CHANKONG, DOHM		
1200 SEVEN		•		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2152		
DENVER, (	CO 8020	2				
				DATE MAILED: 06/20/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)							
Advisory Action	09/886,373	STARK ET AL.							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Dohm Chankong	2152							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED 30 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folked places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compart following time periods:</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	iffidavit, or other evidence with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of									
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO									
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL									
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com									
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.									
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS									
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because									
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);									
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);									
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or									
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.									
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			(570) 604						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).									
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling									
the non-allowable claim(s).	·		_						
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w ovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected:									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  B.  ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut hofore or on the date of filing a h	Nation of Annual will -	not be outered						
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•								
11. ⊠ The request for reconsideration has been considered be	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:						
See Continuation Sheet.		Λ							

BUNJOB JAROENCHONWANIT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-14/49) Pape

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not persuasive. Applicant argues in substance: (a) that Alfieri does not disclose levels correlating to stages of completion; and (b) Alfieri does not disclose levels tunable by a system coordinator. In regards to (a), Alfieri discloses various levels when a node joins or leaves the group. For example, a node may be joining, joined, leaving or inactive. These levels correspond to the various stages of completion of an action. See also table 2 where Alfieri discloses levels of "down", "up", "starting" "stopping", "registered". In regards to (b), Alfieri discloses an registration and launch service that is responsible for "tuning" paremeters of a function [column 15 "lines 27-65"]. Alfieri discloses that the launch service requests the event manager daemon to monitor certain conditions by specifying certain IN parameters. The registration and launch service is part of the event manager and the event manager is in every node. Thus, each node contains the registration and launch service [Figures 3 and 21]. The application is thus NOT in condition for allowance.